IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

THURSDAY, THE 6TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 15TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 24690 of 2007(L)

PETITIONER:

BINU VARGHESE, AGED 37 YEARS,
S/O.T.G.JOHN, RESIDING AT KALEKALVADAKATHIL,
VAZHUVADY, THAZHAKARA P.O.,
MAVELIKARA - PIN 690 102.

BY ADV. SRI.S.JAYAKRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER,
BHARATH SANCHAR NIGAM LIMITED,
KERALA CIRCLE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE GENERAL MANAGER, TELECOM,
B.S.N.L., ALAPPUZHA.

3. THE SUB DIVISIONAL ENGINEER (COMMERCIAL)
TELECOM, B.S.NL., MAVELIKKARA.

BY ADV. SRI.P.M.M.NAJEEB KHAN, SC, BSNL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 06/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

V.GIRI,J.

W.P.(C) NO.24690 of 2007

Dated this the 6th day of September, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner claims to have been appointed as a Telegram Messenger in the office of the Sub Divisional Engineer (Commercial), Telecom, BSNL, Mavelikkara for the period from 9.9.2003 to 16.1.2007. He was paid remuneration by way of monthly wages for the said period. According to him, he was informed that he need not attend the office with effect from 17.1.2007. He has filed Exts.P1 and P1(a) representations before the second respondent inter alia claiming regularisation of service or re-engagement of service.

2. Apart from the fact that no material has been produced to show that the petitioner was engaged for the period in question, I am of the view that the petitioner obviously cannot claim regularisation for that period. He, apparently, even going by his case, was on daily wages. Appointment was not in regular course, and obviously, when there was no further requirement, he would have been asked to discontinue. No enforceable legal right of the petitioner seems to be infringed.

The writ petition fails and the same is dismissed.

V.GIRI, JUDGE

css

: :

: :